REMARKS

Claims 1-11 and 14-23 were examined. According to the Patent Office claims 1-11

appear to be allowable. The Applicants wish to thank the Patent Office for allowing claims

1-11. Claims 17 and 23 are amended. Claims 14-16, 20 and 22 are canceled. Claims 1-11,

17-19, 21 and 23 remain in the Application.

Specification

The Patent Office objects to the calcium concentration in paragraph [0032] of the

Specification of the Application. With respect to the Specification, see the Specification

section. With respect to the calculation of calcium see Appendix A.

Claim 17 and 23 are amended as recommended by the Patent Office. Claim 17 was

rewritten as recommended by the Patent Office as an independent claim including all of the

limitations of the base claim and the intervening claims (see Claims). Amended claim 17

falls within the scope of the invention and therefore overcomes the objection by the Patent

Office. Claim 23 was rewritten as recommended by the Patent Office as an independent

claim including all of the limitations of the base claim (see Claims). Amended claim 23 falls

within the scope of the invention and therefore overcomes the objection by the Patent Office.

Claims 17 and 23 have been amended to overcome the objection of the Patent Office.

Claims 18, 19, 21, 23 depend from claim 14 and therefore contain all the limitations of that

claim. For at least the above stated reason with respect to claim 17, claim(s) 18, 19, 21, 23

fall within the scope of the invention.

BEST ANALLE CO.

Docket No. 70452.P001C Application No. 10/057,156

RJH

6

Claims Rejection-35 U.S.C. §102/103

With respect to the rejections, the Patent Office rejects claims 14-16, 20, 22 under 35U.S.C. § 102(e) as anticipated by and alternatively under 35U.S.C. § 103(a) as obvious over Liska et al. (US Patent No. 6,051,260, <u>Liska</u>). In order to expedite the prosecution, the applicant wishes to cancel claims 14-16, 20, 22 without prejudice.

CONCLUSION

Applicants respectfully submit that the objections and rejections have been overcome by the amendments and remarks, and that the claims as amended are now in condition for allowance. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request the objections be withdrawn and the claims as amended be allowed and such action is requested at the earliest possible date.

Invitation for a Telephone Interview

The Examiner is requested to call the undersigned at (303) 740-1980 if there remains any issue with allowance of the case.

Respectfully submitted,

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP

Date: February 3, 2004

Roberta J. Hanson

Reg. No. 51,774

12400 Wilshire Boulevard 7th Floor Los Angeles, California 90025-1030 (303) 740-1980

BEST AMILABLE COLY

APPENDIX A

The calcium concentration in paragraph [32] was calculated the following way. See Example 1 paragraph [107].

Calcium is added to the mixture in the form of calcium lactate. Calcium is 13 percent of the calcium lactate compound. Therefore, the total grams of calcium in the mixture is 0.5 grams $(0.13 \times 3.57 = 0.5)$.

Calcium at 0.5 grams divided by the total volume (240 ml) multiplied by 100 equals 0.21 percent (0.5/ 240 x 100 = 0.21 percent). Thus, this amount is equivalent to the organic acidifier.

